## MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (CWSRF) CALENDAR YEAR 2009 PROJECT EVALUATION

## **Project Checklist/Rating Form**

Reviewer:	LGU:	
Review Date:	Project No. (from Item 4 of Part 1	):
A. Project Summary - Description, Objectives, and Plan	ning Basis	
Refer to the Instructions and Guidance. Use the checklist to confirm	n that the project narrative has adeq	uately
described the project and its benefits.		(Check)
Project objectives; documentation of public health and water quali	ity issues to be addressed.	
Scope of project, key facilities or tasks; environmental benefits.		
Identification of project area, site plan/project map.		
Planning basis of project; copy of pertinent pages of approved plan	nning document.	
Basis of cost estimate; engineer's estimate for construction project	ts	

B. Pu	B. Public Health Criteria				
Item No.	Pts	I. What is the cause of the public health problem or nuisance that the project will address?	(Points)	Page Number in Narrative	Attachment ID & Page No.
1	3	Contaminated stormwater			
2	4	Illicit connection to stormwater system			
3	5	Combined Sewer Overflows >20/year			
	4	11 – 20/year			
	3	1 – 10/year			
4	5	Widespread septic system failure (15% or greater)			
	2	(10% or greater)			
5	5	Raw sewage back-up from municipal system			
6	5	Sanitary Sewer Overflow >3/year			
	4	3/year			
	3	1 – 2/year			
7	1	Water pollution related odor problem			
8	2	Landfill leachate			
9	2	POTW malfunction, i.e. inadequate disinfection			
10	1 - 3	Other:			

Item No.	Pts	II. What is the nature of the resource affected?	(Points)	Page Number in Narrative	Attachment ID & Page No.
11	5	Public drinking water supply			
		Is alternate supply available? (y/n)	(n: +1)		
12	5	Private drinking water supply			
		Is alternate supply available? (y/n)	(n: +1)		
13	4	Private homes			
14	4	Public streets or parklands			
15	3	Swimming beaches			
16	2	Boating areas			
17	1	Sensitive population affected			
18	3	Population affected >10,000			
	2	25 – 9,999			
	1	1 – 24			
19	1 - 3	Other:			

C. Er	C. Environmental Criteria				
Item		I. What is the nature of the environmental problem		Page Number	Attachment ID
No.	Pts	encountered?	(Points)	in Narrative	& Page No.
20	3	NPDES limits exceeded			
21	3	Aquatic toxicity			
22	2	Nutrients			
23	2	Dissolved oxygen			
24	1	Temperature			
25	2	Bacteria			
26	2	Turbidity			
27	1	Noxious aquatic plants			
28	1	Aesthetics			
29	1 - 3	Other			

Item		II. What environmental resource(s) is affected?		Page Number	Attachment ID
No.	Pts		(Points)	in Narrative	& Page No.
30	3	Public water supply – Surface Zone A			
31	3	Public water supply – Groundwater Zone I			
32	2	Outstanding Resource Water (ORW)			
33	2	Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)			
34	2	Public water supply – Surface Zone B			
35	2	Public water supply – Groundwater Zone II			
36	2	Commercial fishery			
37	2	Endangered species habitat			
38	2	Sole source aquifer			
39	2	Ocean Sanctuary			
40	1	Recreational fishery / shellfish area			
41	1	Federally designated river (scenic, historic, etc.)			
42	1 - 3	Other:			

D. Pr	D. Project Effectiveness				
Item No.	Pts	I. How and to what extent will the project eliminate mitigate the problem?	e or (Points)	Page Number in Narrative	Attachment ID & Page No.
110.		Reduces violations of water quality standards	(1 omts)		
		Restores designated uses			
		Reduces potential adverse impacts to sensitive resource			
		Protects designated uses			
		Reduces or eliminates public health problems/nuisance			
		Protects public health resources from contamination			
		Other:			
43	30	Project substantially eliminates or mitigates problem			
	15	Project moderately mitigates problem			
	0	Project minimally mitigates problem			

E. Pr	ogran	n and Implementation Criteria			
Item		I. Consistency with EOEA/DEP Watershed Manage	ement	Page Number	Attachment ID
No.	Pts	Plans or priorities	(Points)	in Narrative	& Page No.
44		Implements a recommendation within:			
	15,	An EOEA Watershed Management Plan; a			
	20,	Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan			
	25,	(CWMP), a Project Evaluation Report (PER), a			
	30, or 35	Comprehensive Performance Evaluation (CPE), a			
	01 33	Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) (PER			
		Level), a Stormwater Management Plan, a Water			
		Quality Assessment Report, or a			
		Diagnostic/Feasibility Study that specifically			
	20	identities the project.			
	30	- a TMDL			
45	10	II. Compliance and enforcement	ı	<u> </u>	T
	10	Project achieves compliance with enforcement order			
	8	Maintains permit compliance level			
	6	Achieves voluntary compliance (violation w/ no order)			
46		III. Multi-community, regional or basin solution			
	8	Project substantially addresses regional or basin problem			
	6	Project includes significant I/I or stormwater			
		recharge in a high or medium stress basin			
	4	Project moderately addresses regional or basin problem			
	2	Project includes significant I/I or stormwater			
		recharge in a low stress/unassessed basin			
47		IV. Innovative / Alternative technology	1		
	2	Project utilizes DEP-approved I/A technology			
48		V. Pricing system under MGL c.40, s.39J			
	2	Certification attached			
49		What is the approved score from the Commonwealth			
		Capital Application Score (or TBD)?			

F. Threshold Criteria				
Item	An affirmative answer to either question below will disqualify the project from review			
No.		(Yes / No)		
50	Indicate whether, and to what extent, the capacity to be provided by the project duplicates existing treatment or disposal capacity already available at an economic cost within the relevant region.			
51	Identify and describe the extent of any potential negative impacts to water quality, water quantity, or to the public health directly attributable to the project, and assess whether and to what extent any such negative impacts outweigh the project's environmental and/or public health benefits.			

Notes	Notes: Item #   Comments				
Item #	Comments				